

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/677,460	10/02/2003	Yue S. Zhang	3054.BDG	8490
7	590 09/26/2005	•	EXAMINER	
Charles W. Almer			CHEUNG, WILLIAM K	
National Starch 10 Finderne Av	n and Chemical venue		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Bridgewater, 1	NJ 08807		1713	
			DATE MAILED: 09/26/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				(,,			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/677,460	ZHANG ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		William K. Cheung	1713				
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHI0 - Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES and the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Disperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ju	<u>ıly 2005</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims	•					
4)⊠	I)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-33</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 7,16-21 and 25-33 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	☑ Claim(s) <u>1,3-15 and 22-24</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	•				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents)-(d) or (f).				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
	application from the International Bureau	•	ya iir tiilo rtational otago				
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	. ' ''	ed.				
A441-	A(a)						
Attachmen	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 121103, 042705.	5)	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Application/Control Number: 10/677,460 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's affirmed election of Group I invention, claims 1-15 and 22-24, is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Therefore, in view of lack of traversal to restriction requirement set forth from Response to Restriction Requirement, the restriction set forth by the examiner is deemed proper and is therefore made Final.

- 2. In view of amendment filed July 11, 2005, claim 2 has been cancelled. Claims 1, 3-33 are pending. Claims 7, 16-21, and 25-33 are drawn to non-elected subject matter. Claims 1, 3-15 and 22-24 are examined with merit.
- 3. In view of amendment filed July 11, 2005, the objection of claims 10 and 11 due to informalities is withdrawn.

Claim Objections

4. Claim 3 is objected for setting dependency onto itself. Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/677,460 Page 3

Art Unit: 1713

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1, 3-6, 8-10, 12-15, and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Levy (US Pat. 3,875,090) for the reasons adequately set forth from paragraph 4 of non-final office action of April 7, 2004.

Applicant's arguments filed July 11, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue that the composition of Levy relates to a two part composition while applicants' claimed composition is a one part primer composition.

Art Unit: 1713

However, applicants must recognize that the claims as written are silent on the requirement that the composition must be a one-part composition. As long as the composition of Levy comprises an active hydrogen from the partially hydrolyzed vinyl chloride-vinyl acetate copolymer, the composition of Levy would be considered substantially identical to the composition as claimed.

Regarding the claimed "primer" feature, it is merely a functional language that does not lend itself to patentability.

Regarding applicants' argument that Levy does not possess the claimed Tg requirement, however, applicants must recognize that in view of the substantially identical composition as claimed and the composition disclosed in Levy, the examiner has a reasonable basis to set forth a 102-3 rejection, especially when applicants' compositional claims are very broad.

8. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Levy (US Pat. 3,875,090) for the reasons adequately set forth from paragraph 5 of non-final office action of April 7, 2004 and paragraph 7 of instant office action.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William K. Cheung whose telephone number is (571) 272-1097. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00AM to 2:00PM; 4:00PM to 8:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David WU can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/677,460 Page 6

Art Unit: 1713

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William K. Cheung

Primary Examiner

September 20, 2005

WILLIAM K. CHEUNG PRIMARY EXAMINER